

# An Experimental Analysis on Effect of Pozzolanas on Fibre Reinforced Concrete

Mohammad Mustafa<sup>1</sup>, Honey Gaur<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research scholar, Department of Civil Engineering, Kalinga University, Raipur

<sup>2</sup>Asst. Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Kalinga University, Raipur

**Abstract-** In case of Portland slag cement it was observed that using Recron fiber from 0.0% to 0.1% the compressive strength is not increased, but as the fiber percentage was increased from 0.1% to 0.2% the compressive strength was increased and on further increment of fibre content the strength reduces. The 28 days compressive strength of concrete is higher at with 0.2% fiber compared to other fibre composition but lower than unreinforced concrete. In addition to fiber silica fume was used as a partial replacement to cement. The different percentage of silica fume such as 10%, 20%, 30% replacement was used with 0.2% Recron fiber. The 20% replacement of slag cement with of silica fume gave maximum strength compared to other percentages of replacement, whereas the strength is higher with 30% replacement of silica fume in case of ordinary Portland cement.

- Permeability
- Density
- Heat of hydration
- Toughness
- Volume stability
- Long life in severe environments

**Index Terms-** Strength, concrete, FRC

## INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the terminology "High-Performance Concrete" has been introduced into the construction industry. The American Concrete Institute (ACI) defines high-performance concrete as concrete meeting special combinations of performance and uniformity requirements that cannot always be achieved routinely when using conventional constituents and normal mixing, placing and curing practices. A commentary to the definition states that a high-performance concrete is one in which certain characteristics are developed for a particular application and environment. Examples of characteristics that may be considered critical for an application are:

- Ease of placement
- Compaction without segregation
- Early age strength
- Long-term mechanical properties

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Effect of GGBS in normal consistency of cement:

| % of cement replaced by GGBS (%) | Consistency (%) |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|
| 0                                | 31.0            |
| 10                               | 32.0            |
| 20                               | 33.0            |
| 30                               | 34.5            |
| 40                               | 36.5            |

Effect of GGBS on Compressive strength of cement:

| % of GGBS with cement replacement | 3 days strength (MPa) | 7 days strength (MPa) |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 0                                 | 11.176                | 24.31                 |
| 10                                | 9.66                  | 15.63                 |
| 20                                | 7.117                 | 10.85                 |
| 30                                | 6.10                  | 9.15                  |
| 40                                | 4.74                  | 7.46                  |

Effect of RHA on Normal Consistency of cement:

| % of cement replaced by RHA | Consistency (%) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| 0                           | 31.0            |
| 10                          | 45.0            |
| 20                          | 48.0            |
| 30                          | 52.0            |

Effect of RHA on Compressive strength of cement:

| % of cement replaced by RHA | 3 days strength (MPa) | 7 days strength (MPa) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 0                           | 11.176                | 24.31                 |
| 20% (RHA I)                 | 2.23                  | 4.74                  |

|              |      |      |
|--------------|------|------|
| 20% (RHA II) | 3.65 | 7.45 |
|--------------|------|------|

Effect of Recron fiber on Compressive strength using slag cement:

| Fiber content (%) | 7 days compressive strength (N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) | 28 days compressive strength (N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) |
|-------------------|--|---|
| 0.0               | 29.036   | 37.77   |
| 0.1               | 24.63  | 27.4067   |
| 0.2               | 26.43  | 32.148  |
| 0.3               | 17.2   | 25.48   |

Effect of recron fiber on Splitting Tensile Strength using slag cement:

| Fiber content (%) | 7 days splitting tensile strength (N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) | 28 days splitting tensile strength (N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) |
|-------------------|--|---|
| 0.0               | 2.523  | 2.873   |
| 0.1               | 2.12   | 2.452   |
| 0.2               | 2.569  | 3.018   |
| 0.3               | 1.533  | 2.280   |

Effect of recron fiber on Flexural Strength using slag cement:

| Fiber content (%) | 7 days flexural strength (N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) | 28 days flexural strength (N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) |
|-------------------|---|--|
| 0.0               | 5.750   | 7.75   |
| 0.1               | 5.875   | 6.33   |
| 0.2               | 6.560   | 8.04   |
| 0.3               | 4.501   | 6.04   |

Effect of silica fume on normal consistency of cement:

| % of cement replaced by silica fume | Normal consistency (%) |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|
| 0                                   | 31.0                   |
| 10                                  | 38.0                   |
| 20                                  | 41.5                   |
| 30                                  | 45.0                   |

Effect of silica fume on Compressive strength with 0.2% fiber using slag cement:

| Silica fume (%) | 7 days Compressive strength (N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) | 28 days Compressive |
|-----------------|--|---------------------|
| 0.0             | 26.43  | 32.148              |
| 10.0            | 23.55  | 30.813              |
| 20.0            | 26.07  | 34.814              |
| 30.0            | 21.778   | 29.03               |

Effect of silica fume on splitting tensile strength with 0.2% fiber using slag cement:

| Silica fume (%) | 7 days splitting tensile strength (N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) | 28 days splitting tensile |
|-----------------|--|---------------------------|
| 0.0             | 2.569  | 3.018                     |
| 10.0            | 2.482  | 2.92                      |
| 20.0            | 2.687  | 3.206                     |
| 30.0            | 2.169  | 2.782                     |

Effect of silica fume on flexural strength with 0.2% fiber using slag cement:

| Silica fume (%) | 7 days flexural strength (N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) | 28 days flexural strength |
|-----------------|---|---------------------------|
| 0.0             | 6.56  | 8.04                      |
| 10.0            | 6.50  | 8.00                      |
| 20.0            | 6.625   | 8.458                     |
| 30.0            | 6.04  | 7.875                     |

### CONCLUSION

- 1) In case of Portland slag cement with the use of Recron fiber, the 28 days compressive strength at 0.2% fiber content the result obtained is maximum. The 28 days splitting tensile and flexural strength also increases about 5% at 0.2% fiber content to that of normal concrete. Further if fiber percentage increases then it was seen a great loss in the strength.
- 2) As the replacement of cement with different percentages with Silica fume increases the consistency increases.
- 3) With Portland slag cement keeping 0.2% Recron fiber constant and varying silica fume percentage the compressive, splitting tensile, flexural strength affected remarkably. Using 20% silica fume with 0.2% fiber percentage the 28 days compressive strength increases 7% more than concrete with 0.2% fiber only. 28 days splitting tensile and flexural strength increases further, about 12% and 10% that of normal concrete.
- 4) So it is inculcated that 0.2% Recron fiber and 20% SF is the optimum combination to achieve the desired need.
- 5) In case of OPC the compressive strength is increasing as the percentage of silica fume increases from 0-30% and 0.2% Recron fiber and it is about 20% more than strength of normal concrete with OPC.
- 6) The splitting tensile strength increases about 15% at 10% SF and constant 0.2% Recron fiber, then decreases with increasing the SF

percentage. Flexural strength is not giving good indication and goes on decreasing and it is about 40% decrement as the SF percentage increases to 30%.

- 7) Ordinary Portland cement gives good compressive strength result as compared to Portland slag cement in case of mix with SF and 0.2% Recron.
- 8) The capillary absorption coefficient (k) with decreases great sign as SF percentage increases at constant fiber percentage i.e 0.2%. At 20% SF content the k value decreases progressively with 70% reduction that to without SF content concrete.
- 9) The porosity value also decreases as the SF value increases from 0-30% in Recron fiber reinforced concrete.

Resources Conservation & Recyclin, Vol. 29, 2000, 195-207

- [9] K Ganesh Babu and V. Sree Rama Kumar, "Efficiency of GGBS in Concrete", Cement and Concrete Research, Vol. 30, 2000, 1031-1036.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Pierre-Claude Aitcin, "Development in the application of high performance concrete", Construction and Building Material, Vol. 9. No. 1, 1995, 13-17
- [2] Andrzej Ajdukiewicz and Wojciech Radomski, "Trends in the Polish research on high-performance concrete", Cement and Concrete Composite, Vol. 24, 2002, 243-251
- [3] Pierre-Claude Aitcin, "The durability characteristics of high performance concrete", Cement & Concrete Composite, Vol. 25, 2003, 409-420
- [4] Moayad N Al-Khalaf and Hana A Yousif, "Use of Rice husk ash in concrete", The International Journal of Cement Composites and Lightweight Concrete, Vol. 6, November 4 1984.
- [5] Muhammad Soaib Ismail and A. M. Waliuddin, "Effect of rice husk ash on high strength concrete", Construction and Building Material, Vol. 10. No. 7, 1996, 521-526
- [6] Gemma Rodriguez de Sensale, "Strength development of concrete with rice-husk ash", Cement & Concrete Composite, Vol. 28, 2006,158-160
- [7] A Oner & S Akyuz, "An experimental study on optimum usage of GGBS for the compressive strength of concrete", Cement & Concrete Composite, Vol. 29, 2007, 505-514.
- [8] Cajun Shi and Jueshi Qian, "High performance cementing materials from industrial slags",