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Abstract- Now a day, our observation about the steel structures, Industrial trusses form one of the major structural systems, which require accurate and economic design. Their span and corresponding weight plays an important role in planning the industrial area. The shape and configuration is decided upon the span, pitch, spacing of truss, various loads and naturally the weight. In this project a humble attempt is made to compare various truss configurations with different span, pitch, spacing of truss regarding the weight aspects. All the types of trusses have been analysed and designed by STAAD PRO, software for the different span (12 m to 20 m) which are the most common spans used in practices. From the parametric study, the most appropriate span will be formulated considering geometric shape, weight, economy and other criteria.

Index Terms- STAAD Pro Software, Analysis and Design of Industrial Truss, AutoCAD drafting, 3D frame

I. INTRODUCTION

The principal modern building materials are masonry, concrete (mass, reinforced and pre-stressed), glass plastic, timber and structural steel (in rolled and fabricated section). All the mentioned materials have particular advantages on a given situation and hence the construction of particular building type may involve the use of various materials, e.g. a residential building may be constructed using load-bearing masonry, concrete frame or steel frame. The designer has to think about various possible alternative and suggest a suitable material which will satisfy economical, aesthetic and functional requirements.

Steel was first introduced in 1740, but was not available in large quantities until sir henry Bessemer of England invented and patented the process of making steeling 1855. The first major structure to use the new exclusively was Flower and Baker’s Railway Bridge at Firth of Forth. At that time, generally engineers’ uses conventional sections like angle or plates etc.

II. AIM AND OBJECTIVE

A. AIM

Aim of the present work is to carry out analysis and design for a industrial truss using computer aided analysis and design.

B. OBJECTIVES

Following are the main objectives of the present study:

1. To study the basic geometry of truss
2. Prepare excel sheet for load calculation on truss
3. Software (STADD PRO) validation of truss
4. Analysis and design of truss by using STAAD PRO
5. Result interpretation (weight comparison for different comparison)
6. To design the truss by using various section

III. LITRETURE REVIEW


The research involves various kinds of industrial roof trusses by using computer software. It also involves the knowledge regarding steel roof trusses and the design philosophies with worked examples. From the observations they concluded that, the sections designed using limit state methods are more economical than the sections using working stress...
method. It was observed that the tubular section designed by limit state method was the most economical among the three sections which were used


This research’s objective was to estimate the economic importance of the Hollow Sections in contrast with conventional sections. This paper was carried out to find out the percentage economy accomplished using Hollow Sections so as to understand the importance of cost efficiency. The technique used in order to reach the objective involves the comparison of various profiles for different combinations of height and material cross-section for given span and loading conditions. The analysis and design phase of the project was done utilizing STAAD PRO V8i. The results of STAAD analysis were validated with the results of Manual analysis.

### IV. DATA COLLECTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STEP NO</th>
<th>REFERENCE</th>
<th>CALCULATION</th>
<th>OUTPUT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1       | Structural Design & Drawing (STEEL) | GIVEN DATA:-  
a) Span of truss = L = 15  half = 7.50m  
b) Pitch, p = 0.25  
c) Spacing of truss = S = 4m  
d) Rise of truss = R = 3m  
e) Height of truss = G.L. = H = 20m  
f) Wt. A.C.C. sheet = 150N/m²  
g) Wt. of purlins = 120N/m²  
h) Wt. of wind bracing = 12N/m²  
i) Ground slope θ = 0 degree  
j) Total panel point P.P. = 8  half = 4  
k) Life of structure = 25yrs  
l) Wall opening = 0.10  
m) Length of shed = 50m |  |
| 2       | PRELIMINARY CALCULATION :-  
1. Angle of roof truss :-  
Tan α = 0.40  
So, α = 21.81 degree  
2. Length of P.R. :-  
Length of P.R. = 8.08m  
3. Half plan area :-  
Half plan area = L× spacing of R.T. = 30m²  
4. Half slope area :-  
Half slope area = length of P.R.×spacing of R.T. = 32.31m² |
| 3       | From IS 875, Part-1 | DEAD LOAD:-  
1. Weight of roofing material = 4846.65N  
2. Weight of purlins = 3600N  
3. Self wt. roof truss = 10(span/3+5)N/m²  
= 100N/m²(per plan area)  
So, self wt. roof truss = 3000N  
4. Weight of wind bracing = 360N  
TOTAL DEAD LOAD = (1)+(2)+(3)+(4)  
= 11806.65 N  
DEAD LOAD PER FULL P.P = 2.95kN  
DEAD LOAD PER HALF P.P = 1.48 kN | Total D.L=11.81kN  
Per F.P.P = 2.95kN  
Per H.P.P=1.48kN |
| 4       | From, IS: 875, Part-2 | LIVE LOAD:-  
1. Live load on purlin = 750-20(α - 10)N/m²  
= 513.75 N/m² > 400N/m²  
So, Take live load = 513.75 N/m²  
2. L.L. on roof truss = 2/3 × L.L. on purlins  
= 342.50 N/m²  
TOTAL LIVE LOAD = 10275.01 N  
LIVE LOAD PER FULL P.P = 2.57kN  
LIVE LOAD PER HALF P.P = 1.28 kN | Total L.L=10.275kN  
Per F.P.P =2.57kN  
Per H.P.P= 1.28kN |
From, IS: 875, Part-3

WIND LOAD:-
1. Design wind speed:
   \( V_z = V_b \times k_1 \times k_2 \times k_3 \)
2. Basic wind speed:
   \( V_b = 39.0 \text{ m/s for ahmdabad} \)
3. Risk coefficient
   \( k_1 = 0.92 \) for all general bldg. for 25yrs
4. Terrain coefficient
   \( k_2 = 1.01 \) terrain category – 3, & class – A
5. Topography factor
   \( k_3 = 1.00 \) upwind slope - 0°
So, \( V_z = 36.24 \text{ m/s} \)
6. Design wind pressure:
   \( p_z = 0.6 V_z^2 \)
   \( p_z = 787.95 \text{ N/m}^2 \)
7. Wind load:
   \( F = (C_{pe} + C_{pi}) \times A \times p_z \)
   Where, \( C_{pe} = \) external pressure coefficient
   Here, \( H/w = (20/15) = 1.33 \)
   And, \( \alpha = 21.81 \text{ degree} \)
   For wind angle, \( \theta = 0° \)
   EF side, \( C_{pe} = 0.61 \)
   GH side, \( C_{pe} = 0.50 \)
   For wind angle, \( \theta = 90° \)
   EG side \( C_{pe} = 0.80 \)
   FH side \( C_{pe} = 0.64 \)
So, taking max of all the values.
   \( C_{pe} = 0.80 \)
   \( C_{pi} = \) internal pressure coefficient
   Here, wall opening percent. = 0.10
   \( C_{pi} = 0.50 \)
WIND LOAD from above equation
   \( F = 33097.29 \text{ N} \)
   WIND LOAD PER FULL P.P = 8.97kN
   WIND LOAD PER HALF P.P = 4.14kN

FINAL RESULT:
1. DEAD LOAD PER PANEL = 2.95kN
2. LIVE LOAD PER PANEL = 2.57kN
3. WIND LOAD PER PANEL = 8.27kN

V. DESIGN STEPS IN STAAD PRO SOFTWARE

Fig-1: Create A Model In STAAD Pro

Fig-2: Assign Material And It’s Propety

Fig-3: Assign Support
VI. CONCLUSIONS

1) It has been observed during the analysis of triangular and curved truss, the top and bottom rafter having a same nature of axial load in curved truss for various load combination and they have opposite nature in triangular truss.

2) In the design of curved trusses for different span, it has been seen that rate of saving in weight varies from 7 % to 15 % depending upon the span of truss. For the smaller span rate of saving in weight is more and it reduces approximately parabolic with increase in span.

3) The reduction rate of saving with increase in span, this occurs due to the reduction in saving in compression members under the increased axial force It also seen that the stress reduction factor for both the section is approximately same after some stage (with decrease in $F_{cd}/F_y$).

4) The Hollow steel section (H.S.S) is better option under compression. For the same cross section area and same length, the compressive strength of H.S.S is very much higher than conventional section (about 1.45 to 7.0 times). For smaller length the strength ratio of H.S.S (Hollow Steel Section) to l-sections small and increases gradually up to some length after that this ratio increases in parabolic nature. C.H.S (Circular Hollow Section) gives highest strength in above analyzed sections.

5) Hollow Section used as flexural member, it has been observed that H.S.S (Hollow steel Section) gives the same moment capacity as given by conventional sections. One advantage while using H.S.S (Hollow Steel Section) is that the shear capacity is very much higher than conventional sections.

6) Hollow section used as tension member no economy is achieved. Its strength is quite same or less than conventional sections.

7) C.H.S is the most economical and strong sections as per all the observation.
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