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#### Abstract

Present paper deals with defection analysis of orthotropic skew plate using FSDT. A polynomial radial basis function base meshfree method is used to discretize the partial differential equations in displacement form. A MATLAB code is developed incorporating to obtain the solutions. Results related to flexure analysis of orthotropic skew plates are presented under point load. Effect of orthotropic ration, skew angle and span to thickness ratio is presented.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Plates are defined as plane structural elements with a small thickness. Plate deformation theories can be divided in to two groups: stress based and displacement based theories. Due to the presence of singularity at the obtuse corners skew plates are complicated then rectangular plates. Skew plates have several numbers of applications in various mechanical, civil and aero structures such as ship hulls, buildings, aircrafts etc. The present paper deals with the skew plates under point load. Analysis of skew plate using point load is a rare study in the field of research. Ferreira et al. [1] use the FSDT in the multiquadric radial basis function (MQRBF) procedure for predicting the free vibration behavior. Sengupta [2] has studied the performance of a simple finite element for the analysis of skew rhombic plates. Bending analysis of simply supported shear deformable Skew plates have been carried out by Liew and Han [3]. The spline-finite-strip/element method has also been applied to the bending analysis of skew plates (Tham et al. [4]; Li et al. [5]; Wang and Hsu [6]). Daripa and Singha [7] studied the influence of corner stresses on the stability behaviour of composite skew plates. The analysis of isotropic thick skew plates had been carried out by Muhammad and Singh, [8].Srinivasa et. al. [9] studies the buckling effect on skew plates using finite element.

## II. MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION

The plate geometry of is shown in Fig. 1. Thickness $h$ is along z axis whose mid plane is coinciding with $\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}$ plane of the coordinate system is considered.
The displacement field at any point in the plate is expressed as ignoring initial displacements in X and Y direction:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u=-z \phi_{x} \\
& v=-z \phi_{y} \\
& w=w_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

(1)

The strain-displacement relations can be written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\varepsilon_{x x} \\
\varepsilon_{y y} \\
\gamma_{x y}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-z \frac{\partial \phi_{x}}{\partial x} \\
-z \frac{\partial \phi_{y}}{\partial y} \\
-z \frac{\partial \phi_{x}}{\partial y}-z \frac{\partial \phi_{y}}{\partial x}
\end{array}\right\} \\
& \text { (2) }  \tag{2}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\gamma_{y z} \\
\gamma_{z x}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\phi_{y}+\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial y} \\
-\phi_{x}+\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial x}
\end{array}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

The constitutive stress strain relation can be written as:
$\left\{\begin{array}{c}\sigma_{x x} \\ \sigma_{y y} \\ \sigma_{x y} \\ \sigma_{y z} \\ \sigma_{z x}\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}\bar{Q}_{11} & \bar{Q}_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \bar{Q}_{12} & \bar{Q}_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{Q}_{66} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \bar{Q}_{44} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \bar{Q}_{55}\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}\varepsilon_{x x} \\ \varepsilon_{y y} \\ \gamma_{x y} \\ \gamma_{y z} \\ \gamma_{z x}\end{array}\right\}$
The governing differential equations of plate are obtained using Hamilton's principle and expressed as:
$\frac{\partial \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{xx}}}{\partial \mathrm{x}}+\frac{\partial \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{xy}}}{\partial \mathrm{y}}-\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{x}}=0$
$\frac{\partial M_{x y}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial M_{y y}}{\partial y}-Q_{y}=0$
$\frac{\partial \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{x}}}{\partial \mathrm{x}}+\frac{\partial \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{y}}}{\partial \mathrm{y}}-\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{z}}=0$
Where, $M_{x x}=D_{11} \frac{\partial \phi_{x}}{\partial x}+D_{12} \frac{\partial \phi_{y}}{\partial y}$
$M_{y y}=D_{12} \frac{\partial \phi_{x}}{\partial x}+D_{22} \frac{\partial \phi_{y}}{\partial y}$
$M_{x y}=D_{16} \frac{\partial \phi_{x}}{\partial x}+D_{26} \frac{\partial \phi_{y}}{\partial y}$
$Q_{x}=k A_{55} \phi_{x}, Q_{y}=k A_{55} \phi_{y}$
The boundary conditions for an arbitrary edge with simply supported conditions are as follows:
$\phi^{s}, w, M_{n n}=0$
Where,
$\phi^{s}=-n_{y} \cdot \phi^{x}+n_{x} \cdot \phi^{y}$
$M_{n n}=n_{x}^{2} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{xx}}+2 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{xy}}+\mathrm{n}_{y}^{2} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{yy}}$
$n_{x}=\cos (\theta), n_{y}=\sin (\theta)$

## III. SOLUTION METHODOLOGY

The governing differential equations (5) are expressed in terms of displacement functions. Radial basis function based formulation works on the principle of interpolation of scattered data over entire domain. The variable $w_{0}, \phi_{x}$ and $\phi_{y}$ can be interpolated in form of radial distance between nodes. The solution of the linear governing differential equations is assumed in terms of polynomial radial basis function for nodes $1: \mathrm{N}$, as;
$w_{o}, \phi_{x}, \phi_{y}=\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\alpha_{j}^{w}, \alpha_{j}^{\phi_{i}}, \alpha_{j}^{\phi_{y}}\right) g\left(\left\|X-X_{j}\right\|, m\right)$

Where, N is total numbers of nodes which is equal to summation of boundary nodes NB and domain interior nodes ND. $g\left(\left\|X-X_{j}\right\|, m\right)$ is polynomial radial basis function expressed as $g=r^{m}, \delta=\alpha_{j}^{w}, \alpha_{j}^{\phi_{\gamma}}, \alpha_{j}^{\phi_{y}}$ are unknown coefficients. $\left\|X-X_{j}\right\|$ is the radial distance between two nodes.
Where, $\quad r=\left\|X-X_{j}\right\|=\sqrt{\left(x-x_{j}\right)^{2}+\left(y-y_{j}\right)^{2}}$ and m is shape parameter. The value of ' $m$ ' taken here is 5 . Polynomial radial basis function becomes singular, when $\mathrm{r}=$ 0 i.e. for zero distance. In order to eliminate the singularity, an infinitesimally small value is added into the $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ or zero distance. Mathematically it is explained as; $r^{2}=r^{2}+\mu^{2}$ when $r=0$ or $\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{j} ; \mu^{2}$ is small numerical value of the order $10^{-10}$.

The discretized governing equations for linear flexural analysis can be written as:
$\left[\begin{array}{c}{[K]_{L}} \\ {[K]_{B}}\end{array}\right]_{3 N \times 3 N}\{\delta\}_{3 N \times 1}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}{[F]_{L}} \\ 0\end{array}\right\}_{3 N \times 1}$
The unknown coefficients $\{\delta\}$ are calculated from equation (7).


Fig. 1 Geometry of skew plate

## IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In order to demonstrate the accuracy and applicability of present formulation, a RBF based meshless code in MATLAB is developed following the analysis procedure as discussed above. Based on convergence study, a $13 \times 13$ node is used throughout the study.
The deflection and moments are normalized as:
$\bar{w}=w_{c \max } \cdot 100 \cdot h^{3} /\left(q a^{4}\right) \quad \bar{M}=M_{c \max } \cdot 40 /\left(q a^{2}\right)$
$\bar{\sigma}_{x x}=\sigma_{x x \max } \cdot\left(q a^{4} / h^{2}\right) \quad \bar{\sigma}_{y y}=\sigma_{y y \max } \cdot\left(q a^{4} / h^{2}\right)$
$\bar{\sigma}_{x y}=\sigma_{x y \max } \cdot\left(q a^{4} / h^{2}\right) \quad \bar{\sigma}_{x z}=\sigma_{x z \max } \cdot\left(q a^{4} / h^{2}\right)$
Unless until specified, the material properties are taken as:
$E 1=25, E 2=1, v=0.3, G 1=G 2=0.5, G 3=0.2$


Fig. 2 Convergence study for deflection $\bar{w}$ of skew plate ( $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{h}=10$ )
From Fig. 2 it can be seen that a good convergence is achieved for thick plate. The convergence is within $1 \%$ for nodes more than $9 x 9$.
Table-1 Effect of span to thickness ratio on $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{xx}}$ of a square orthotropic skew plate

| Skew angle |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{h}$ | 90 | 75 | 60 | 45 | 30 |  |
| 5 | 20.9893 | 20.768 | 19.6 | 18.2046 | 15.5813 |  |
| 10 | 23.1592 | 22.1901 | 20.784 | 18.8794 | 15.4795 |  |
| 20 | 23.4296 | 22.3268 | 21.2779 | 19.0568 | 15.4538 |  |
| 30 | 23.6433 | 22.76 | 21.1556 | 18.7826 | 21.9364 |  |
| 40 | 23.6325 | 22.6562 | 20.8218 | 18.3357 | 14.8434 |  |
| 50 | 23.5647 | 22.4505 | 20.4015 | 17.8354 | 14.4386 |  |
| 100 | 23.2876 | 21.1278 | 18.18 | 15.5231 | 12.5853 |  |

Table-2 Effect of span to thickness ratio on Myy of a square orthotropic skew plate

| Skew angle |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{h}$ | 90 | 75 | 60 | 45 | 30 |
| 5 | 4.3127 | 4.2427 | 4.1293 | 4.0409 | 3.5914 |
| 10 | 3.7336 | 3.6824 | 3.6327 | 3.5493 | 3.2592 |
| 20 | 3.2998 | 3.2408 | 3.1615 | 3.0369 | 2.7787 |
| 30 | 3.0875 | 3.0321 | 2.9255 | 2.7585 | 3.6991 |
| 40 | 2.9531 | 2.889 | 2.7585 | 2.5626 | 2.3077 |
| 50 | 2.8564 | 2.7787 | 2.6218 | 2.4032 | 2.1388 |
| 100 | 2.6406 | 2.4111 | 2.1173 | 1.8368 | 1.5645 |

Table-3 Effect of span to thickness ratio on Mxy of a square orthotropic skew plate

| Skew angle |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{h}$ | 90 | 75 | 60 | 45 | 30 |  |
| 5 | 0.8154 | 0.742 | 0.8056 | 0.848 | 0.6972 |  |
| 10 | 0.5658 | 0.7331 | 0.895 | 0.9069 | 0.7568 |  |
| 20 | 0.5104 | 0.791 | 1.0039 | 1.0278 | 0.7732 |  |
| 30 | 0.548 | 0.8283 | 1.0284 | 1.0376 | 5.2862 |  |
| 40 | 0.5605 | 0.8424 | 1.0184 | 1.0038 | 0.7248 |  |
| 50 | 0.572 | 0.8505 | 0.9942 | 0.9547 | 0.676 |  |
| 100 | 0.6743 | 0.8638 | 0.8334 | 0.719 | 0.49 |  |

Table-4 Effect of span to thickness ratio on Mnn of a square orthotropic skew plate

| Skew angle |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{h}$ | 90 | 75 | 60 | 45 | 30 |  |
| 5 | 4.3127 | 5.3402 | 7.9028 | 10.9711 | 12.4563 |  |
| 10 | 3.7336 | 4.8892 | 7.7813 | 10.9956 | 12.2184 |  |
| 20 | 3.2998 | 4.479 | 7.5359 | 10.8146 | 12.0601 |  |
| 30 | 3.0875 | 4.3074 | 7.3255 | 10.539 | 16.3455 |  |
| 40 | 2.9531 | 4.1637 | 7.1156 | 10.2211 | 11.4899 |  |
| 50 | 2.8564 | 4.0441 | 6.9072 | 9.8948 | 11.1456 |  |
| 100 | 2.6406 | 3.595 | 5.9712 | 8.4703 | 9.6238 |  |

Table-5 Effect of span to thickness ratio on Mns of a square orthotropic skew plate
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| Skew angle |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{h}$ | 90 | 75 | 60 | 45 | 30 |
| 5 | 0.8154 | 4.1478 | 6.7534 | 7.0818 | 5.1181 |
| 10 | 0.5658 | 4.684 | 7.5071 | 7.665 | 5.1726 |
| 20 | 0.5104 | 4.8413 | 7.934 | 8.01 | 5.3586 |
| 30 | 0.548 | 5.0119 | 7.9848 | 8.0121 | 9.2275 |
| 40 | 0.5605 | 5.0273 | 7.9133 | 7.8866 | 5.3014 |
| 50 | 0.572 | 5.0087 | 7.7909 | 7.7161 | 5.2 |
| 100 | 0.6743 | 4.8001 | 7.0487 | 6.8432 | 4.6531 |

Table-6 Effect of span to thickness ratio on $\bar{\sigma}_{x x}$ of a square orthotropic skew plate

| Skew angle |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{h}$ | 90 | 75 | 60 | 45 | 30 |
| 5 | 14.7825 | 14.4985 | 14.3032 | 14.5186 | 14.0391 |
| 10 | 15.3368 | 15.2108 | 15.0446 | 15.3181 | 14.8214 |
| 20 | 16.1182 | 32.1906 | 15.8713 | 16.1865 | 15.8134 |
| 30 | 16.2707 | 16.113 | 16.0314 | 16.3705 | 110.7835 |
| 40 | 16.0447 | 15.9128 | 15.8618 | 16.1921 | 15.7976 |
| 50 | 15.6433 | 15.5511 | 15.5378 | 15.8367 | 15.4881 |
| 100 | 13.0423 | 13.3172 | 13.5223 | 13.5536 | 13.7869 |

Table-7 Effect of span to thickness ratio on $\bar{\sigma}_{y y}$ of a square orthotropic skew plate

| Skew angle |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{h}$ | 90 | 75 | 60 | 45 | 30 |
| 5 | 6.4202 | 6.1999 | 5.9407 | 6.534 | 6.6295 |
| 10 | 5.9777 | 5.7749 | 5.5194 | 6.0496 | 6.1597 |
| 20 | 5.2674 | 5.1002 | 4.8675 | 5.2635 | 5.3268 |
| 30 | 4.7318 | 4.601 | 4.4041 | 4.6958 | 6.1042 |
| 40 | 4.3371 | 4.2235 | 4.0413 | 4.2618 | 4.4132 |
| 50 | 4.0262 | 3.9188 | 3.7395 | 3.9072 | 4.2249 |
| 100 | 3.118 | 2.9156 | 2.693 | 2.7156 | 3.1726 |

Table-8 Effect of span to thickness ratio on $\bar{\sigma}_{x y}$ of a square orthotropic skew plate

| Skew angle |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{h}$ | 90 | 75 | 60 | 45 | 30 |
| 5 | 1.1426 | 1.1377 | 1.1271 | 1.1325 | 1.1195 |
| 10 | 0.9467 | 0.9512 | 0.9411 | 0.9319 | 0.9339 |
| 20 | 0.7002 | 1.1697 | 0.7421 | 1.0618 | 0.8976 |
| 30 | 0.5666 | 0.5693 | 0.8252 | 1.225 | 1.5342 |
| 40 | 0.4864 | 0.4887 | 0.8501 | 1.2673 | 1.1572 |
| 50 | 0.4573 | 0.4839 | 0.8481 | 1.254 | 1.1485 |
| 100 | 0.4722 | 0.4884 | 0.7413 | 1.0219 | 0.9141 |

Table-9 Effect of span to thickness ratio on $\bar{\sigma}_{x z}$ of a square orthotropic skew plate

| Skew angle |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{h}$ | 90 | 75 | 60 | 45 | 30 |
| 5 | 0.7208 | 0.7104 | 0.6998 | 0.707 | 0.6821 |
| 10 | 0.4472 | 0.4392 | 0.4288 | 0.4255 | 0.3994 |
| 20 | 0.5817 | 0.5216 | 0.459 | 0.3689 | 0.3022 |
| 30 | 0.8452 | 0.7716 | 0.6375 | 0.488 | 0.3306 |
| 40 | 1.1232 | 1.0137 | 0.8098 | 0.603 | 0.3959 |
| 50 | 1.4169 | 1.258 | 0.9714 | 0.7088 | 0.4644 |
| 100 | 3.1799 | 2.4849 | 1.6599 | 1.1689 | 0.7572 |

Table-10 Effect of orthotropic ratio on Mxx of a skew plate

| Skew angle |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{E 1 / E 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 12.8986 | 10.3245 | 8.702 | 7.2069 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 15.5884 | 12.6542 | 10.5493 | 8.8052 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 20.871 | 18.2913 | 15.4492 | 13.0965 |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 22.2114 | 19.87 | 16.9523 | 14.4276 |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 23.2876 | 21.1278 | 18.18 | 15.5231 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 24.2049 | 22.18 | 19.2158 | 16.4613 |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 25.7406 | 23.8902 | 20.6581 | 18.0201 |

Table-11 Effect of orthotropic ratio on $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{yy}}$ of a skew plate

| Skew angle |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{E 1 / E 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 5.8982 | 5.0389 | 4.3181 | 3.7164 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 4.8873 | 4.2742 | 3.6697 | 3.173 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 3.1757 | 2.8999 | 2.5331 | 2.1987 |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 2.8576 | 2.6136 | 2.2907 | 1.9879 |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 2.6406 | 2.4111 | 2.1173 | 1.8368 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 2.4791 | 2.2571 | 1.9853 | 1.721 |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 2.247 | 2.0326 | 1.8265 | 1.5519 |


| Skew angle |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{E 1 / E 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 2.5744 | 1.8917 | 2.1328 | 1.7467 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 1.8099 | 2.414 | 3.1765 | 2.8161 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 0.8571 | 4.0177 | 5.7145 | 5.4489 |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 0.748 | 4.4545 | 6.4538 | 6.2199 |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 0.6743 | 4.8001 | 7.0487 | 6.8432 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 0.62 | 5.0879 | 7.5455 | 7.3701 |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 0.5434 | 5.5531 | 8.2234 | 8.2341 |

Table-12 Effect of orthotropic ratio on $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{xy}}$ of a skew plate

| Skew angle |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{E 1 / E 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 2.5744 | 2.4651 | 2.0368 | 1.4811 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 1.8099 | 2.0076 | 1.6799 | 1.2445 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 0.8571 | 1.1556 | 1.0565 | 0.8707 |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 0.748 | 0.9828 | 0.9261 | 0.7831 |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 0.6743 | 0.8638 | 0.8334 | 0.719 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 0.62 | 0.776 | 0.7626 | 0.6689 |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 0.5434 | 0.653 | 0.6616 | 0.5944 |

Table-13 Effect of orthotropic ratio on $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{nn}}$ of a skew plate

| Skew angle |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{E 1 / E 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 5.8982 | 5.1678 | 5.0078 | 4.949 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 4.8873 | 4.6514 | 5.0474 | 5.5618 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 3.1757 | 3.8329 | 5.5513 | 7.3794 |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 2.8576 | 3.6885 | 5.7741 | 7.9738 |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 2.6406 | 3.595 | 5.9712 | 8.4703 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 2.4791 | 3.5298 | 6.1467 | 8.8997 |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 2.247 | 3.4456 | 6.4148 | 9.6209 |

Table-14 Effect of orthotropic ratio on $M_{n s}$ of a skew plate
Table-15 Effect of orthotropic ratio on $\bar{\sigma}_{x x}$ of a skew plate

| Skew angle |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{E 1 / E 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 6.3801 | 6.5417 | 6.7813 | 6.497 |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 7.8849 | 8.0694 | 8.304 | 8.0166 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 11.368 | 11.6492 | 11.8632 | 11.7269 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 12.3105 | 12.5939 | 12.8034 | 12.7577 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 13.0423 | 13.3172 | 13.5223 | 13.5536 |  |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 13.6374 | 13.8997 | 14.0995 | 14.1947 |  |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 14.5646 | 14.7991 | 15.3627 | 15.1787 |  |

Table-16 Effect of orthotropic ratio on $\bar{\sigma}_{y y}$ of a skew plate

| Skew angle |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{E 1 / E 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 3.8303 | 3.9576 | 3.8448 | 5.1127 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 3.6747 | 3.7089 | 3.5353 | 4.0589 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 3.314 | 3.1702 | 2.9375 | 3.0259 |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 3.2069 | 3.0275 | 2.8012 | 2.8506 |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 3.118 | 2.9156 | 2.693 | 2.7156 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 3.0411 | 2.8233 | 2.604 | 2.6066 |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 2.9116 | 2.6755 | 2.481 | 2.4378 |

Table-17 Effect of orthotropic ratio on $\bar{\sigma}_{x y}$ of a skew plate

| Skew angle |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{E 1 / E 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.9289 | 1.2979 | 2.0776 | 2.6183 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.7868 | 1.056 | 1.6404 | 2.0823 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 0.5488 | 0.6301 | 0.9607 | 1.2876 |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 0.5034 | 0.5461 | 0.8309 | 1.1316 |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 0.4722 | 0.4884 | 0.7413 | 1.0219 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 0.4487 | 0.4457 | 0.6746 | 0.9401 |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 0.4142 | 0.389 | 0.5822 | 0.8329 |

Table-18 Effect of orthotropic ratio on $\bar{\sigma}_{x z}$ of a skew plate

| Skew angle |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{E 1 / E 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 13.8162 | 8.043 | 4.8038 | 3.3454 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 10.4717 | 6.4033 | 3.773 | 2.6299 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 4.7581 | 3.4504 | 2.187 | 1.5378 |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 3.7847 | 2.8741 | 1.8757 | 1.3194 |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 3.1799 | 2.4849 | 1.6599 | 1.1689 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 2.7623 | 2.2021 | 1.498 | 1.0574 |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 2.2169 | 1.8147 | 1.2388 | 0.9009 |



Fig. 3 Effect of span to thickness ratio for deflection $\bar{w}$ of a skew plate


Fig. 4 Effect of skew angle with variation of orthotropic ratio for deflection $\bar{w}$ of a skew plate
( $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{h}=1 / 10$ )


Fig. 5 Effect of orthotropic ratio for deflection $\bar{w}$ of a skew plate $(\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{h}=1 / 100)$
Other numerical examples have been also considered and the results obtained for different values of span to thickness ratio is shown in Table-1 to Table 9 and for different orthotropic ratio is shown in Table-10 to Table-18.
Fig. 3 to Fig. 7 shows the effect of skew angle on deflection. It is observed that as skew angle increases, the deflection decreases. The effect of span to thickness ratio seems to be negligible after $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{h}=40$. The effect of orthotropy ratio seems to be negligible after $\mathrm{E} 1 / \mathrm{E} 2=30$.


Fig. 6 Effect of skew angle with variation of orthotropic ratio for deflection $\bar{w}$ of a skew plate ( $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{h}=1 / 100$ )


Fig. 7 Effect of thickness along skew angle for deflection $\bar{w}$ of skew plate
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## V. CONCLUSION

The present study shows that the proposed RBFs are capable to accurately predict the flexure behavior of skew plates subjected to concentrated load. Effect of skewness on deflection, moments and stresses is obtained. It is found that all the parameters decrease as skewness increases. Effect is more prominent for thick plates as compared to thick plate.

